

---

## CONTENTS

---

### ARTICLES

<b>Introduction . . . . .</b>	<b>551</b>
<i>Katalin Bimbó and J. Michael Dunn</i>	
<b>The Emergence of Set-theoretical Semantics for Relevance Logics around 1970 . . . . .</b>	<b>557</b>
<i>Katalin Bimbó and J. Michael Dunn</i>	
<b>The Geometry of Relevant Implication . . . . .</b>	<b>591</b>
<i>Alasdair Urquhart</i>	
<b>Cut-elimination in RM Proved Semantically . . . . .</b>	<b>605</b>
<i>Arnon Avron</i>	
<b>Model Definability in Relevant Logic . . . . .</b>	<b>623</b>
<i>Guillermo Badia</i>	
<b>Kripke–Galois Frames and their Logics . . . . .</b>	<b>647</b>
<i>Chrysafis Hartonas</i>	
<b>The Relevant Logic E and Some Close Neighbours: A Reinterpretation . . . . .</b>	<b>695</b>
<i>Edwin Mares and Shawn Standefer</i>	
<b>Channel Composition and Ternary Relation Semantics . . . . .</b>	<b>731</b>
<i>Andrew Tedder</i>	

<b>Some Concerns Regarding Ternary-relation Semantics and Truth-theoretic Semantics in General . . . . .</b>	<b>755</b>
<i>Ross T. Brady</i>	
<b>A Preservationist Perspective on Relevance Logic . . . . .</b>	<b>783</b>
<i>Bryson Brown</i>	
<b>Ambiguity and the Semantics of Relevant Logic . . . . .</b>	<b>795</b>
<i>Nicholas Ferenz</i>	