

# Contents

<b>Conference Organization</b> . . . . .	<b>v</b>
<b>Preface</b> . . . . .	<b>ix</b>
<b>Keynote Speakers</b> . . . . .	<b>xi</b>
<b>Regular papers</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>What Optimistic Responses to Deep Disagreement get Right (and Wrong)</b> . . . . . <i>Scott F. Aikin</i>	<b>3</b>
<b>Is There a Crisis of Dissent and Disagreement in Argument Theory?</b> . . . . . <i>Mark Alfino</i>	<b>15</b>
<b>Epistemic Tolerance</b> . . . . . <i>Dominik Balg</i>	<b>27</b>
<b>Structuring Controversy: The Dialectic of Disagreement</b> . . . . . <i>Sharon Bailin, Mark Battersby</i>	<b>41</b>
<b>The Role of Reasoning and Arguing in Youth Democratic Participation in Canada</b> . . . . . <i>Michael D. Baumtrog</i>	<b>55</b>
<b>Pictures and Reasoning: Visual Arguments and Objections</b> . . . . . <i>Angelina Bobrova</i>	<b>65</b>
<b>Issues Leading to Argumentative Discussions During Family Mealtime Conversations</b> . . . . . <i>Antonio Bova</i>	<b>79</b>
<b>Value-based Argumentation and the Transition to Low Carbon Economy in Turkey and Portugal: Values, Uncertainty and Actions</b> . . . . . <i>Huthaifah Busuulwa</i>	<b>93</b>
<b>Dissent: Considering Culture and Personality</b> . . . . . <i>Linda Carozza</i>	<b>107</b>

<b>Heroic Argumentation: On Heroes, Heroism, and Glory in Arguments</b>	<b>117</b>
<i>John Casey, Daniel H. Cohen</i>	
<b>Relationships between Narrative and Argumentation. In Defence of a Functional Account</b>	<b>129</b>
<i>Guillermo Sierra Catalán</i>	
<b>Interactive Discourse Features Supporting Aversive and Existential Acknowledgment In Legislative and Ceremonial Government Apologies</b>	<b>143</b>
<i>Martha S. Cheng</i>	
<b>Devil's Advocates are the Angels of Argumentation</b>	<b>161</b>
<i>Katharina Stevens, Daniel H. Cohen</i>	
<b>The Semantic Mechanisms Underlying Disagreement. An Argumentative Semantics Approach</b>	<b>175</b>
<i>Ana-Maria Cozma</i>	
<b>Strategic Maneuvering in Implicit and Pseudo-explicit Advertising Discussion</b>	<b>191</b>
<i>Hédi Virág Csordás</i>	
<b>Reasons for Rational Disagreement from Dialectics The Van Inwagen Cases</b>	<b>205</b>
<i>Istvan Danka</i>	
<b>Arguing Brexit on Twitter: A Corpus Linguistic Study</b>	<b>217</b>
<i>Natalie Dykes, Philipp Heinrich, Stefan Evert</i>	
<b>Protagoras' Principles, Disagreement and the Possibility of Error</b>	<b>231</b>
<i>Michel Dufour</i>	
<b>Changing Minds through Argumentation: Black Pete as a Case Study</b>	<b>243</b>
<i>Catarina Dutilh Novaes, Emily Sullivan, Thirza Lagewaard, Mark Alfano</i>	
<b>The Pragma-dialectical View of Comparison Argumentation</b>	<b>261</b>
<i>Frans H. van Eemeren, Bart Garssen</i>	
<b>An Indexical Characterization of Disagreement Based on Possible Worlds Semantics</b>	<b>275</b>
<i>Léa Farine</i>	
<b>The Visual Rhetoric of Iconic Photographs as Topoi in Editorial Cartoons: an Argumentative Analysis</b>	<b>287</b>
<i>Eveline Feteris</i>	
<b>Conceptual Analysis of an Argumentation: using Argumentation Schemes and the Toulmin Model</b>	<b>303</b>
<i>Marie Garnier, Patrick Saint-Dizier</i>	

<b>Representing Second-order Arguments with Adpositional Argumentation (AdArg)</b> . . . . .	<b>315</b>
<i>Federico Gobbo, Jean H.M. Wagemans</i>	
<b>Argumentation, Dissent, and Luck</b> . . . . .	<b>327</b>
<i>Job de Grefte</i>	
<b>Argument Scheme Theory</b> . . . . .	<b>341</b>
<i>Hans V. Hansen</i>	
<b>Resolution of Deep Disagreement: Not Simply Consensus</b> . . . . .	<b>357</b>
<i>Leah Henderson</i>	
<b>Appeals to Popularity: Roles and Functions of ‘Everyone knows X’</b> . .	<b>369</b>
<i>Thierry Herman</i>	
<b>Towards a Theory of Informal Argument Semantics</b> . . . . .	<b>379</b>
<i>Martin Hinton</i>	
<b>Stereotyping</b> . . . . .	<b>393</b>
<i>David Hitchcock</i>	
<b>Changing Norms of Argumentation</b> . . . . .	<b>407</b>
<i>Beth Innocenti</i>	
<b>“If You Are A Scientist You Cannot Stop Such A Thing”: Scientific Assent and Dissent in the Manhattan Project</b> . . . . .	<b>419</b>
<i>David Erland Isaksen</i>	
<b>Formal Specifications for Dialogue Games in Multi-party Healthcare Coaching</b> . . . . .	<b>433</b>
<i>Mathilde Janier, Alison Pease, Mark Snaith, Dominic de Franco</i>	
<b>How Courts Should Respond to the Stories Defendants Tell: A Bayesian Account of a Dutch Ruling</b> . . . . .	<b>453</b>
<i>Hylke Jellema</i>	