

Contents

Conference Organization	v
Preface	ix
Keynote Speakers	xi
Regular papers	1
The Spaces and Places of Argumentation: Developing a Spatial Model of Argumentation	3
<i>Steven Johnson</i>	
Aggressiveness as a Qualifier of Dissent in Interpersonal Arguing . . .	23
<i>Iryna Khomenko</i>	
Auditory Arguments – Importance of Sound in an Argumentative Discourse (An Empirical Study)	35
<i>Gabrijela Kišiček</i>	
Be reasonable! Ways to React to Cases of Presumed Unreason	49
<i>Erik C. W. Krabbe, Jan Albert van Laar</i>	
Epistemic Injustice and Deep Disagreement	63
<i>Thirza Lagewaard</i>	
Argumentative Patterns of Right-Wing Populism: The Example of the “Alternative for Germany”	77
<i>David Lanius</i>	
A Decision Tree for Annotating Argumentation Scheme Corpora	97
<i>John Lawrence, Jacky Visser, Douglas Walton, Chris Reed</i>	
Philosophical Foundation of Reasonableness in Mencius’s Argumentative Discourse: Based on the use of dissociation	115
<i>Yan Linqiong, Xiong Minghui</i>	
Strategic Manoeuvring with the Construction “We (All) Know that X” in Parliamentary Debates	127
<i>Matthijs Looij, Bertijn van der Steenhoven</i>	

Argument, Justification and Inquiry: a Pragmatist Approach	143
<i>Federico E. López</i>	
Dissent as a Voice of Support: The Influence of Argument Types in Providing Support to Those Who have Experienced Racial Discrimination	153
<i>Uttara Manohar, Susan L. Kline</i>	
Holism of Reasons and its Consequences for Argumentation Theory .	167
<i>Hubert Marraud</i>	
“Why did not your correspondent make an honest inquiry before so writing?”: The Text Structure and Discourse of Disagreement in Irish letters to the Editor	181
<i>Davide Mazzi</i>	
An Argumentative Approach to the Justification of Abduction	195
<i>Paula Olmos</i>	
When You Can’t Trust What You Don’t Know: Organ and Tissue Donation, Public Trust, the Voice of Dissent and Informed Consent	213
<i>Harmony Peach</i>	
“The worst ever conceived by a man of genius. ” Hume’s Probability Argument in A Treatise	225
<i>Jeanne Peijnenburg, David Atkinson</i>	
Character, Dog Whistles, and the Limits of Charity	239
<i>Kathryn Phillips</i>	
The Rhetorical Potential of Metaphor: An Experimental Study on the Effect of Metaphors in Argumentation	251
<i>Roosmaryn Pilgram</i>	
Separate Opinions as an Argumentative Activity Type	267
<i>H. José Plug</i>	
Can Literary Fiction be Suppositional Reasoning?	279
<i>Gilbert Plumer</i>	
Conspiracy Theories and Reasonable Disagreement	291
<i>Juho Ritola</i>	
Inference to the Best Metaphor	305
<i>Cristián Santibáñez</i>	
Various Efforts of Enhancing Real World Online Discussions	311
<i>Alexander Schneider, Christian Meter</i>	

Beyond Randomized Clinical Trials: Emerging Innovations in Reasoning About Health	325
<i>Jodi Schneider, Sally Jackson</i>	
Multimodal Argumentation and Dissent – a Perspective of Multimodal Critical Discourse Analysis	339
<i>Andrea Sabine Sedlaczek</i>	
Analogical Argumentation in Philosophical Thought Experiments	355
<i>Stefan Sleeuw</i>	
Detecting and Handling Disagreement in Multi-party Health Coaching	369
<i>Mark Snaith, Alison Pease, Dominic De Franco</i>	
Explaining Away and the Propensity Interpretation of Probability: the Case of Unequal Priors	385
<i>Alice Liefgreen, Marko Tešić</i>	
Responsible Agent Deliberation	405
<i>Douglas Walton, Alice Toniolo</i>	
Disagreement in Aristotle’s Topics and its Latin Medieval Reception	421
<i>Gustavo Fernández Walker, Ana María Mora-Márquez</i>	
Conductive Arguments. Towards a Solution of the Riddles	435
<i>Harald R. Wohlrapp</i>	
A Ludological Perspective on the Shape of Argument: Collaborative Assent to Dissenting Opposition	449
<i>Michael A. Yong-Set</i>	
Towards the Justification of Warrant in a Legal Hard Case: How to Justify a Legal Rule by the Backing	463
<i>Shiyang Yu, Xi Chen</i>	
How Should We Classify Argument Schemes?	477
<i>David Zarefsky</i>	